

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Executive Summary .....	E-1
Table of Contents .....	i-1
<b>SECTION 1: INTRODUCTION</b>	
Project Summary .....	1-1
Problem Statement .....	1-3
Resource Goals .....	1-4
Public and Stakeholder Involvement .....	1-5
<b>SECTION 2: WATERSHED CHARACTERIZATION</b>	
Topography and Drainage .....	2-1
Geology and Soils .....	2-5
Geomorphology .....	2-7
Aquatic Habitat and Wetlands .....	2-14
Land Use .....	2-18
Historic Conditions .....	2-18
Current Conditions .....	2-19
Future Conditions .....	2-21
<b>SECTION 3: WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT</b>	
Introduction .....	3-1
Turbidity and Total Suspended Solids Assessment .....	3-4
Relationship Between Turbidity and Sediment-Related Variables .....	3-4
Relationship Between Turbidity and Flow .....	3-9
Comparison With Standard .....	3-10
Spatial Variability .....	3-12
Temporal Variability .....	3-24
Macroinvertebrates .....	3-26
Conclusions .....	3-27
<b>SECTION 4: EVALUATION OF EXISTING MANAGEMENT EFFORTS</b>	
Introduction .....	4-1
Existing Management Efforts .....	4-2
Programs .....	4-2
Projects .....	4-6
Regulations .....	4-7
Monitoring .....	4-11
Inventory and Assessment .....	4-11
Assessment of Future Conditions .....	4-13

Assessment of Existing Stormwater Controls.....	4-19
Summary .....	4-23
<b>SECTION 5: IMPLEMENTATION PLAN</b>	
Introduction.....	5-1
Implementation Elements .....	5-3
Adapting and Updating .....	5-11
Funding .....	5-12

## FIGURES

Figure 1-1. Credit River Watershed.....	1-2
Figure 2-1. County Ditch 4 Location Along Mainstem of Credit River.....	2-2
Figure 2-2. Credit River Topography & Drainage.....	2-3
Figure 2-3. Credit River Profile .....	2-4
Figure 2-4. Cultivated and Highly Erodible Land .....	2-6
Figure 2-5. Erosion Along a Cut Bank and Deposition on a Point Bar on Sand Creek .	2-7
Figure 2-6. Reach Designations for the Credit River .....	2-9
Figure 2-7. A Section of Credit River.....	2-14
Figure 2-8. Stream Visual Assessment Ratings for Credit River .....	2-17
Figure 2-9. Existing Land Use in Scott County.....	2-20
Figure 2-10. 2030 Comprehensive Plan.....	2-22
Figure 3-1. Credit River Monitoring Sites.....	3-3
Figure 3-2. Credit River Watershed Turbidity and Sediment-Related Monitoring Data Relationships .....	3-4
Figure 3-3. Regression Between Field and Laboratory Turbidity for the Credit River 0.9 Site (2008 and 2009).....	3-5
Figure 3-4. Turbidity and Percent NVSS, 2008.....	3-7
Figure 3-5. Turbidity and Percent NVSS, 2009.....	3-8
Figure 3-6. Comparison of Continuous Mean Daily Turbidity Readings and Flow for the Credit River, 2008-2009, MCES Site 123 .....	3-9
Figure 3-7. Comparison of Laboratory Turbidity Sample Analyses and Flow From the Credit River, 1993-2008, Metropolitan Council Site @ MR 0.6/0.9 (MCES Site 123) .....	3-9
Figure 3-8. Estimated TSS Loads 2008 .....	3-13
Figure 3-9. Field Erosion Percentages Estimated Using Isotope Fingerprint Techniques for Lower Minnesota River Watersheds (MPCA, 2009).....	3-16
Figure 3-10. Simulated Surface Runoff and Water Yield by Land Use .....	3-17
Figure 3-11. Simulated Field Erosion by Land Use .....	3-18
Figure 3-12. Simulated Average Annual Surface Runoff by Subbasin .....	3-19
Figure 3-13. Simulated Average Annual TSS Yields by Subbasin	
Figure 3-14. Simulated Field and Non-Field TSS Loads by Subbasin for Credit River.....	3-21
Figure 3-15. Simulated Non-Field Erosion Load in Percent by Subbain .....	3-22
Figure 3-16. Mass Balance of Non-Point TSS Loads in Credit River Watershed.....	3-23
Figure 3-17. Continuous Turbidity Credit River Site 123, 2008-2009.....	3-24

Figure 3-18. Credit River Flows at the Metropolitan Council Site 123 (RM0.6/RM0.9) .....	3-25
Figure 4-1. Recent City of Savage Stabilization Projects .....	4-4
Figure 4-2. Comparison of Land Uses Between 2002 and 2030 .....	4-15
Figure 4-3. Land Use Conditions of Credit River Watershed in 2030 .....	4-16
Figure 4-4. Urban and Rural Residential Land Uses in 2030 .....	4-17
Figure 4-5. Comparison of Flow Rates Between 2002 and 2030 Land Use Conditions .....	4-18
Figure 4-6. Comparison of TSS Loads Between 2002 and 2030 Land Use Conditions .....	4-18
Figure 4-7. Summary of Management Scenarios Modeling .....	4-21
Figure 5-1. Credit River Subwatersheds .....	5-2
Figure 5-2. Cultivates Highly Erodible Land .....	5-14

## TABLES

Table 2-1. Highly Erodible Land (HEL) by Subwatershed .....	2-5
Table 2-2. Stream Visual Assessment Protocol Scoring .....	2-16
Table 2-3. 2002 Land Use for SWAT Model Calibration .....	2-19
Table 3-1. Turbidity Distributions .....	3-11
Table 3-2. TSS Distributions .....	3-13
Table 3-3. 2008 Synoptic Monitoring Results for Turbidity (FNU) .....	3-15
Table 3-4. 2004-2007 Macroinvertebrate Metrics .....	3-27
Table 4-1. Assessment Management Gaps .....	4-24
Table 5-1. Credit River Implementation Plan: All Subwatersheds.....	5-5
Table 5-2. Credit River Implementation Plan: Lower Credit River Subwatershed.....	5-6
Table 5-3. Credit River Implementation Plan: Cleary Lake (154) Subwatershed.....	5-8
Table 5-4. Credit River Implementation Plan: Upper Credit River Subwatershed .....	5-9
Table 5-5. Credit River Implementation Plan: Orchard Lake Subwatershed .....	5-10
Table 5-6. Technical Assistance and Cost Share Targeting by Subwatershed .....	5-11
Table 5-7. Scott WMO Surface Water Quality Goal Metrics.....	5-12

## APPENDICES

Appendix A. MPCA Delisting Transparency Document
Appendix B. Project Workplan
Appendix C. Credit Modeling Report
Appendix D. Credit River Fluvial Geomorphic Assessment
Appendix E. Quality Assurance and Quality Control Review Memorandum
Appendix F. Rating Curve Development Memorandum
Appendix G. Hydrograph Development Memorandum
Appendix H. Management Gaps Analysis Matrix
Appendix I. 2011 Scott WMO Cost Share Docket